



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,320	10/15/2001	Hajime Seki	110-040	5302

7590

05/03/2004

Felix J D ' Ambrosio  
Jones Tullar & Cooper  
PO Box 2266 Eads Station  
Arlington, VA 22202

EXAMINER

MEONSKE, TONIA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2183

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/926,320

Applicant(s)

SEKI, HAJIME

Examiner

Tonia L Meonske

Art Unit

2183

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Priority*

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on April 22, 1999. A certified copy of the priority document appears in the PCT International Application PCT/JP00/02512.

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

2. It is hereby acknowledged that the Information Disclosure Statement filed November 14, 2001 has been received. It has been considered to the extent possible, as Examiner does not understand Japanese.

### *Drawings*

3. Figures 1-15 are objected to under 35 CFR 1.83 (a). Detailed illustration is necessary for proper understanding of the drawings. Please provide meaningful and descriptive labels for all representational boxes. Appropriate correction is required.

### *Specification*

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

5. The abstract is objected to for containing more than one paragraph and more than 150 words. Appropriate correction is required. For Applicants convenience the requirements for the content of the specification are provided below:

### **Content of Specification**

- (a) Title of the Invention: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should

Art Unit: 2183

be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.

- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.
- (e) Background of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

Art Unit: 2183

- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s): See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) Sequence Listing. See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

6. The applicant or their representatives are urged to review the specification and submit corrections for all mistakes of a grammatical, clerical, or typographical nature.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

Art Unit: 2183

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention without undue experimentation. Specifically Applicant has not enabled how to build a stack in a circular manner without undue experimentation. A stack grows and shrinks from the same end. In a stack nothing circular is needed. Appropriate correction is required.

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

11. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. The applicant or their representatives are urged to review the claims and submit corrections for all mistakes of a grammatical, clerical, or typographical nature. **For example**, in claim 1 and similarly in claim 7, the limitations “entry addresses...are popped from said advanced pointed stack” “the addresses...are pushed onto said advanced pointer stack”. However, this is not consistent with the accepted meaning of pop and

Art Unit: 2183

push operations. Normally a value is pushed onto the operand stack and a value is popped from the operand stack. Push and pop operations are performed with respect to the operand stack in the processor. Applicant has reversed the accepted meaning of push and pop operations. Applicant has instead claimed push and pop operations with respect to the advanced pointer stack. Appropriate correction is required.

12. For further example, in claim 1, the last line, the limitation “on the principle of data drive” is unclear. If applicant intended to claim “using the data driven principle”, then that would be acceptable. Appropriate correction is required.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeager et al., US Patent 5,758,112, in view of Walker, US Patent 5,881,305.

15. Referring to claim 1, Yeager et al. have taught a computer system for executing programs described in a machine language based on the stack architecture, comprising:

- a. a data cache (Figure 1, element 424);
- b. a data buffer that can hold data of variables (Figure 1, elements 428 and 430);
- c. a consolidated register file each entry of which is designed to hold data (Figure 1, elements 302 and 306);

Art Unit: 2183

- d. an advanced pointer memory area each entry of which is designed to hold an entry address in said consolidated register file (Figure 1, elements 204 and 206, column 6, lines 35-53);
  - e. an instruction buffer having the construction of a FIFO queue each entry of which is designed to hold substance of an instruction (Figure 2, active list, element 212, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13);
  - f. an arithmetic/logic unit that is designed to execute arithmetic/logic operations (Figure 1, elements 412 and 414); and
  - g. a load/store unit that can access said data cache and said data buffer (Figure 1, element 416, column 5, lines 23-30);
16. Yeager et al. have not specifically taught the advanced pointer memory area being an advanced pointer stack. Walker has taught an advanced pointer stack (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3) for the desirable purpose of employing less storage space for each register rename map. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the advanced pointer memory area of Yeager et al., be implemented as a stack, as taught by Walker, for the desirable purpose of employing less storage space for each register rename map (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3).
17. Combining Yeager et al. with Walker necessarily yields wherein,
- a. in the case that an instruction including a pop operation from the operand stack is decoded, entry addresses in said consolidated register file, to the number of words to be popped, are popped from said advanced pointer stack (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3);



- b. in the case that an instruction including a push operation onto the operand stack is decoded, entries of said consolidated register file that have not been allocated are allocated, to the number of words to be pushed, and the addresses of said newly allocated entries of said consolidated register file are pushed onto said advanced pointer stack (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3);
  - c. substance of each decoded instruction, together with the popped/pushed entry addresses in said consolidated register file in the case that the instruction includes a pop/push operation, is written into said instruction buffer and unexecuted instructions held in said instruction buffer are to be executed on the principle of data drive (Yeager et al., column 6, line 65-column 7, line 17).
18. Referring to claim 2, Yeager et al. in combination with Walker have taught the computer system according to claim 1, as described above, and further comprising a completed pointer stack each entry of which is designed to hold an entry address in said consolidated register file (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13);
- a. wherein, when the instruction held in the head entry of said instruction buffer is/becomes ready to be completed, in accordance with the substance in said head entry of said instruction buffer, said completed pointer stack is manipulated so as to reproduce the operation that was applied on said advanced pointer stack in the course of decoding of said instruction, said head entry is dequeued (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13); and
  - b. each entry of said consolidated register file whose address said completed pointer stack loses hold of on account of a pop operation is released from allocation (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13).

Art Unit: 2183

19. Referring to claim 3, Yeager et al. have taught the computer system according to claim 2, as described above, and further comprising a free list that is designed to hold addresses of free entries of said consolidated register file (Figure 1, element 210, column 7, lines 60-63);

- a. wherein, in the initialized state, the addresses of all the entries of said consolidated register file are registered on said free list (Yeager et al., column 12, lines 24-67);
- b. in the case that an entry of said consolidated register file needs to be allocated, an address of free entry of said consolidated register file is taken out of said free list (Yeager et al., column 12, lines 24-67); and
- c. the address of each entry of said consolidated register file that is released from allocation is to be registered on said free list (Yeager et al., column 12, lines 24-67).

20. Referring to claim 4, Yeager et al. have taught the computer system according to claim 2, as described above, and further comprising an advanced pointer stack history file each entry of which is designed to hold contents of said advanced pointer stack (column 17, lines 62-67);

- a. each entry of said consolidated register file being designed to further hold a branch tag (column 17, line 50-column 18, line 20);
- b. wherein, in decoding an instruction, a branch tag is written into each entry of said consolidated register file that is being allocated (column 17, line 50-column 18, line 20);
- c. each time a conditional branch instruction is decoded, contents of said advanced pointer stack are written into an entry of said advanced pointer stack history file (column 17, lines 55-63), and then, with an updated branch tag, speculative execution based on branch prediction is carried out (column 17, line 50-column 18, line 20); and

Art Unit: 2183

d. in the case that a branch prediction turns out to have missed, instructions decoded after the conditional branch instruction are invalidated, each entry of said consolidated register file in which a branch tag for instructions decoded after said conditional branch instruction is written is released from allocation, contents of said advanced pointer stack history file that were written when said conditional branch instruction was decoded are copied into said advanced pointer stack, and the process is resumed from the instruction at the right place (column 19, lines 14-32, column 17, lines 4-25).

21. Referring to claim 5, Yeager et al. have taught the computer system according to claim 2, as described above, and

- a. said advanced pointer stack and said completed pointer stack being each constructed as a circular buffer (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13, Figure 1, elements 204 and 206, column 6, lines 35-53, column 15, lines 49-60);
- b. wherein, in the case that the content of the bottom entry holding an entry address in said consolidated register file is identical between said advanced pointer stack and said completed pointer stack, the data held in the entry of said consolidated register file indicated by said identical content can be spilt into said data buffer, with the hold of the entry address in said consolidated register file in said bottom entry removed both in said advanced pointer stack and in said completed pointer stack (Figure 1, elements 204 and 206, column 6, lines 35-53, Figure 2, active list, element 212, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13, A graduating instruction.);
- c. said consolidated register file can be filled with data from said data buffer by allocating a free entry of said consolidated register file to said data, writing said data into

Art Unit: 2183

said entry, and having the entry under the bottom entry holding an entry address in said consolidated register file hold the address of said entry of said consolidated register file into which said data is being written both in said advanced pointer stack and in said completed pointer stack (Figure 1, elements 204 and 206, column 6, lines 35-53, Figure 2, active list, element 212, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13,).

22. Referring to claim 6, Yeager et al. have taught the computer system according to claim 3, as described above, and wherein

- a. said free list being constructed as a FIFO queue (column 12, lines 57-63);
- b. wherein, in accordance with a plurality of instructions decoded simultaneously, manipulation of said advanced pointer stack, allocation of entries of said consolidated register file, and writing of substances of said plurality of instructions into successive entries of said instruction buffer are to be conducted at a time (Figure 2, active list, element 212, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13, column 2,, lines 55-60, column 8, lines 34-40); and
- c. in accordance with substances held in a plurality of successive entries of said instruction buffer, manipulation of said completed pointer stack, and release of entries of said consolidated register file from allocation are to be conducted at a time (column 6, lines 7-13).

23. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeager et al., US Patent 5,758,112, in view of Walker, US Patent 5,881,305, and An Efficient Algorithm for exploiting Multiple Arithmetic Units, Tomasulo, R. M. (hereinafter referred to as Tomasulo).

Art Unit: 2183

24. Referring to claim 7, Yeager et al. have taught a computer system for executing programs described in a machine language based on the stack architecture, comprising:

- a. a consolidated register file each entry of which is designed to hold data (Figure 1, elements 302 and 306);
- b. an advanced pointer stack each entry of which is designed to hold an entry address in said consolidated register file (Figure 1, elements 204 and 206, column 6, lines 35-53);
- c. an instruction buffer having the construction of a FIFO queue each entry of which is designed to hold substance of an instruction (Figure 2, active list, element 212, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13);
- d. functional units each having an appropriate number of reservation stations (Figure 1, element 416, column 5, lines 23-30); and

25. Yeager et al. have not specifically taught the advanced pointer memory area being an advanced pointer stack. Walker has taught an advanced pointer stack (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3) for the desirable purpose of employing less storage space for each register rename map. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the advanced pointer memory area of Yeager et al., be implemented as a stack, as taught by Walker, for the desirable purpose of employing less storage space for each register rename map (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3).

26. Combining Yeager et al. with Walker necessarily yields wherein, in the case that an instruction including a pop operation from the operand stack is decoded, entry addresses in said

Art Unit: 2183

consolidated register file, to the number of words to be popped, are popped from said advanced pointer stack (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3);

- a. in the case that an instruction including a push operation onto the operand stack is decoded, entries of said consolidated register file that have not been allocated are allocated, to the number of words to be pushed, and the addresses of said newly allocated entries of said consolidated register file are pushed onto said advanced pointer stack (Walker, abstract, column 16, lines 54-column 17, line 3).

27. Yeager et al. have not taught a common data bus through which data and their respective entry addresses in said consolidated register file are to be distributed among said consolidated register file and said functional units. Tomasulo has taught a common data bus through which data and their respective entry addresses in said consolidated register file are to be distributed among said consolidated register file and said functional units (Tomasulo, Pages 30-32) for the desirable purpose of having the result of an operation immediately available to all units upon calculation. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the invention of Yeager et al. include the common data bus of Tomasulo, for the desirable purpose of having the result of an operation immediately available to all units upon calculation (Tomasulo, page 30).

28. Yeager et al. have not taught the substance of each instruction that is written into said instruction buffer is written into a free reservation station of a functional unit that is to execute the instruction, if necessary according to the type of the instruction. Tomasulo has taught the substance of each instruction that is written into said instruction buffer is written into a free reservation station of a functional unit that is to execute the instruction, if necessary according to

Art Unit: 2183

the type of the instruction (Tomasulo, page 29) for the desirable purpose of associating more than one set of registers with each execution unit which ultimately reduces execution time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time the invention was made to have the invention of Yeager et al., include the claimed reservation station, as taught by Tomasulo, for the desirable purpose of associating more than one set of registers with each execution unit which ultimately reduces execution time (Tomasulo, page 29).

29. Yeager et al. in combination with Tomasulo and Walker have taught the contents of each entry of said consolidated register file whose address is popped from said advanced pointer stack are read out, and if data is already written, the entry address and the data are to be put on said common data bus (Tomasulo, page 30, Yeager et al., Figure 1, elements 302 and 306);

a. in each of said reservation stations holding substance of an instruction, each address of entry of said consolidated register file to hold source data is compared with entry addresses in said consolidated register file delivered through said common data bus, data is taken in if any matched, and said instruction is to be performed after required source data are fully arranged (Yeager et al., Figure 1, elements 204 and 206, column 6, lines 35-53, Figure 2, active list, element 212, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13, Tomasulo, page 29);

b. each of said functional units is to put, on said common data bus, each result data produced by executing an instruction that pushes an entry address in said consolidated register file onto said advanced pointer stack when decoded, together with the pushed entry address in said consolidated register file (Tomasulo, Pages 30-32); and

Art Unit: 2183

c. in accordance with contents delivered through said common data bus, data are written in said consolidated register file (Figure 1, elements 302 and 306, column 5, line 45-column 6, line 13).

30. Referring to claim 8, Yeager et al. have taught the computer system according to claim 7, as described above, and further comprising a completed pointer stack each entry of which is designed to hold an entry address in said consolidated register file (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13);

a. wherein, when the instruction held in the head entry of the queue of said instruction buffer is/becomes ready to be completed, in accordance with the substance in said head entry of said queue, said completed pointer stack is manipulated so as to reproduce the operation that was applied on said advanced pointer stack in the course of decoding of said instruction, said head entry is dequeued (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13); and

b. each entry of said consolidated register file whose address said completed pointer stack loses hold of on account of a pop operation is released from allocation (Yeager et al., column 6, lines 7-13).

### *Conclusion*

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (703) 305-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9-6:30, with every other Friday off.



Art Unit: 2183

32. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

33. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm



EDDIE CHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100